

ON THE DRINFELD GENERATORS OF $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ AND Γ -FUNCTIONS FOR ASSOCIATORS

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Drinfeld generators of $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ span the image of this Lie algebra in the abelianization of the commutator of the free Lie algebra with two generators. We show that this result implies Γ -function formulas for arbitrary associators.

INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

0.1. Results on $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$. Let A, B be free noncommutative variables and $\mathbf{k}$ be a field with $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$ be the free Lie algebra generated by A, B . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is defined in [Dr] as the set of all $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$, such that

$$\psi(B, A) = -\psi(A, B), \quad (1)$$

$$\psi(A, B) + \psi(B, C) + \psi(C, A) = 0 \text{ if } C = -A - B, \quad (2)$$

$$\psi^{12,3,4} - \psi^{1,23,4} + \psi^{1,2,34} = \psi^{2,3,4} + \psi^{1,2,3}. \quad (3)$$

The last relation takes place in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_4$, defined as follows. When $n \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{t}_n$ is the Lie algebra with generators t_{ij} , $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and relations $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ if $i \neq j$, $[t_{ij} + t_{ik}, t_{jk}] = 0$ if i, j, k are distinct, and $[t_{ij}, t_{kl}] = 0$ if i, j, k, l are all distinct. If $I_1, \dots, I_n$ are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, then the Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{t}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_m$, $\psi \mapsto d^{I_1, \dots, I_n}(\psi) = \psi^{I_1, \dots, I_n}$ is defined by $t_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in I_i, \beta \in I_j} t_{\alpha\beta}$. Then $\mathfrak{t}_3$ is the direct sum of its center $\mathbf{k}(t_{12} + t_{13} + t_{23})$ and the free Lie algebra generated by t_{12}, t_{23} , and we use the identifications $A = t_{12}$, $B = t_{23}$.

$\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is also equipped with a graded Lie algebra structure (it is not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$).

Define $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$ as the commutator subalgebra. If we assign degrees 1 to A and B , then $\mathfrak{p}$ is the sum of all the components of $\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$ of degree > 1 . Then $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is an abelian Lie algebra, linearly spanned by the classes $p_{k\ell}$ of $\text{ad}(A)^{k-1} \text{ad}(B)^{\ell-1}([A, B])$, where $k, \ell \geq 1$. We have $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$; $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is a graded subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$.

In [Dr], Drinfeld constructed a family of elements $\sigma_n \in \mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ ($n = 3, 5, 7, \dots$), such that the image of the class $[\sigma_n]$ of σ_n in $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ under the isomorphism $i : \mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \simeq (\overline{A} \overline{B}) \subset \mathbf{k}[\overline{A}, \overline{B}]$, $p_{k\ell} \mapsto \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^\ell$ is

$$i([\sigma_n]) = (\overline{A} + \overline{B})^n - \overline{A}^n - \overline{B}^n.$$

We will prove:

Theorem 0.1. *Assume that $\psi \in \mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is homogeneous of degree n . If n is odd and ≥ 3 , then the image $[\psi]$ of ψ in $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is proportional to $[\sigma_n]$. Otherwise, this image is zero.*

Remark 0.2. Actually, we will show that the conclusion of this theorem is valid if we assume that ψ only satisfies (2) and (3).

Remark 0.3. The maps $\psi \mapsto \psi^{12,3,4}$, $\psi \mapsto \psi^{1,23,4}$, etc., extend to algebra morphisms $U(\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{t}_4)$. Similarly to [EH], one can show that $\{\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_2(A, B) | \psi \text{ satisfies (1), (2) and (3)}\} = \{\alpha^{12,3} - \alpha^{1,23} - \alpha^{2,3} + \alpha^{1,2} | \alpha \in t_{12}\mathbf{k}[t_{12}]\} \oplus \mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$.

0.2. Γ -functions for associators. Let $\widehat{F}_2$ be the degree completion of $U(\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B))$ (A and B have degree 1).

If $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, then $\mathbf{Assoc}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$ is defined as the set of all $\Phi \in \widehat{F}_2^\times$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\Phi) &= \Phi \otimes \Phi, \\ \Phi(A, B)e^{\lambda A/2}\Phi(C, A)e^{\lambda C/2}\Phi(B, C)e^{\lambda B/2} &= 1 \text{ if } C = -A - B, \\ \Phi^{2,3,4}\Phi^{1,23,4}\Phi^{1,2,3} &= \Phi^{1,2,34}\Phi^{12,3,4} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, Φ has the form $\Phi = \exp(\varphi)$, with $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(A, B)$ (the degree completion of $\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$).

We also set $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}) = \{(\lambda, \Phi) | \lambda \in \mathbf{k}^\times, \Phi \in \mathbf{Assoc}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})\}$.

If X is any element in $\widehat{F}_2$, then there is a unique pair (X_A, X_B) of elements of $\widehat{F}_2$, such that $X = \varepsilon(X)1 + X_AA + X_BB$ (here ε is the counit map of $\widehat{F}_2$). We denote by $X \mapsto X^{\text{ab}}$ the abelianization morphism $\widehat{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[[\overline{A}, \overline{B}]]$, defined as the unique continuous algebra morphism such that $A \mapsto \overline{A}$, $B \mapsto \overline{B}$.

Recall the formula $\zeta(n) = (2\pi i)^n r_n$ for n even, where r_n is a rational number (we have $r_n = -B_n/(2n!)$, where B_n is the Bernoulli number defined by $u/(e^u - 1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_k u^k/k!$).

Corollary 0.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\Phi \in \mathbf{Assoc}_\lambda(\mathbf{k})$, then there exists a unique sequence $(\zeta_\Phi(n))_{n \geq 2}$ of elements of $\mathbf{k}$, such that*

$$(1 + \Phi_B B)^{\text{ab}} = \frac{\Gamma_\Phi(\overline{A} + \overline{B})}{\Gamma_\Phi(\overline{A})\Gamma_\Phi(\overline{B})}, \quad (4)$$

where Γ_Φ is the invertible formal series $\Gamma_\Phi(u) = \exp(-\sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta_\Phi(n)u^n/n)$. We have $\zeta_\Phi(n) = \lambda^n r_n$ for n even.

This result is contained in an unpublished paper by Deligne and Terasoma. Our proof relies on Theorem 0.1 and the torsor structure of $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank G. Halbout for discussions on $\mathbf{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ in December 2002. I also thank G. Racinet for informing me about the unpublished work of Deligne and Terasoma, and T. Terasoma for sending me a preliminary version of this work.

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1

According to [Dr], the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}_n$ have the following properties. The elements t_{in} , $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ generate a free subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{t}_n$. The Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}_n$ generated by the t_{ij} , $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$. We have $\mathfrak{t}_n = \mathfrak{f}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$; this is a semidirect product as $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1}$ may be viewed as a Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathfrak{f}_{n-1}$.

Let us set $T_n = U(\mathfrak{t}_n)$. The Lie algebra morphisms $\psi \mapsto d^{I_1, \dots, I_n}(\psi) = \psi^{I_1, \dots, I_n}$ extend to algebra morphisms $T_n \rightarrow T_m$, which we denote in the same way.

We set $d = -d^{2,3,4} + d^{12,3,4} - d^{1,23,4} + d^{1,2,34} - d^{1,2,3}$. So $d = d' + d''$, where $d' = -d^{2,3,4} + d^{12,3,4} - d^{1,23,4}$ and $d'' = d^{1,2,34} - d^{1,2,3}$. Then d, d', d'' are linear maps $T_3 \rightarrow T_4$, which restrict to linear maps $\mathfrak{t}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_4$ (which we denote the same way).

Lemma 1.1. *The linear maps d, d' and d'' map $\mathfrak{f}_2 \subset \mathfrak{t}_3$ to $\mathfrak{f}_3 \subset \mathfrak{t}_4$.*

Proof. There is a unique Lie algebra morphism $\varepsilon_4 : \mathfrak{t}_4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_3$, with $\varepsilon_4(t_{ij}) = t_{ij}$ for $i < j < 4$ and $\varepsilon_4(t_{i4}) = 0$ for $i < 4$. Then $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_4) = \mathfrak{f}_3$.

We have $\varepsilon_4 \circ d'' = 0$, hence $d''(\mathfrak{f}_2) \subset \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_4) = \mathfrak{f}_3$. On the other hand, the Lie algebra morphisms $d^{2,3,4}, d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4} : \mathfrak{t}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_4$ are such that $(t_{13}, t_{23}) \mapsto (t_{24}, t_{34})$, $(t_{13}, t_{23}) \mapsto (t_{14} + t_{24}, t_{34})$, $(t_{13}, t_{23}) \mapsto (t_{14}, t_{24} + t_{34})$, so these morphisms take the generators of $\mathfrak{f}_2$ to $\mathfrak{f}_3$, so they induce Lie algebra morphisms $\mathfrak{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_3$. Therefore $d'(\mathfrak{f}_2) \subset \mathfrak{f}_3$. It follows that $d(\mathfrak{f}_2) \subset \mathfrak{f}_3$. $\square$

We set $F_{n-1} := U(\mathfrak{f}_{n-1})$, $T_n := U(\mathfrak{t}_n)$. Then the tensor product of inclusions followed by multiplication induces a linear isomorphism $F_{n-1} \otimes T_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_n$. We denote by $\Pi : T_4 \rightarrow F_4$ the composition $F_4 \xrightarrow{\sim} F_3 \otimes T_3 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon} F_3$, where $\varepsilon : T_3 = U(\mathfrak{t}_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map.

Lemma 1.2. *$d' : T_3 \rightarrow T_4$ is such that $d'(F_2) \subset F_3$. On the other hand, the composition $F_2 \xrightarrow{d''} T_3 \xrightarrow{\Pi} F_3$ is a linear map $F_2 \rightarrow F_3$ extending $d'' : \mathfrak{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_3$. So we have commuting diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_2 & \xrightarrow{d'} & F_3 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathfrak{f}_2 & \xrightarrow{d'} & \mathfrak{f}_3 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_2 & \xrightarrow{d''} & T_3 \xrightarrow{\Pi} F_3 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathfrak{f}_2 & \xrightarrow{d''} & \mathfrak{f}_3 \end{array}$$

Proof. We have seen that the Lie algebra morphisms $d^{2,3,4}$, $d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4} : \mathfrak{t}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_4$ restrict to Lie algebra morphisms $\mathfrak{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_3$. It follows that their extensions to algebra morphisms $T_3 \rightarrow T_4$ restrict to algebra morphisms $F_2 \rightarrow F_3$. As d' is a linear combination of these morphisms, it follows that $d'(F_2) \subset F_3$.

Let ψ be an element of $\mathfrak{f}_2$. We have seen that $d''(\psi) \in \mathfrak{f}_3 \subset F_3$. For any $x \in F_3$, we have $\Pi(x) = x$. Therefore $\Pi(d''(\psi)) = d''(\psi)$. $\square$

It follows that

$$\text{Ker}(d : \mathfrak{f}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_3) = \text{Ker}(d' + \Pi \circ d'' : F_2 \rightarrow F_3) \cap \mathfrak{f}_2. \quad (5)$$

We now define vector subspaces $I_2 \subset F_2$ and $I_3 \subset F_3$ as follows.

Set $X := t_{13}$, $Y := t_{23}$ (elements of F_2). Then $F_2 = \mathbf{k}\langle X, Y \rangle$. A basis of F_2 is the set of all words in X, Y . We define I_2 to be the linear span of all words of the form $wXw'Yw''$, where w, w', w'' are words in X, Y . So I_2 is spanned by the non-lexicographically ordered words, where the order is $Y < X$.

Set $x := t_{14}$, $y := t_{24}$, $z := t_{34}$ (elements of F_3). Then $F_3 = \mathbf{k}\langle x, y, z \rangle$. A basis of F_3 is the set of all words in x, y, z . We define I_3 to be the linear span of all words of the form $wxw'yw''$, $wxw'zw''$ or $wyw'zw''$, where w, w', w'' are words in x, y, z . So I_3 is spanned by the non-lexicographically ordered words, where the order is $z < y < x$.

Lemma 1.3. *We have linear isomorphisms $F_2/I_2 \simeq \mathbf{k}[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$ and $F_3/I_3 \simeq \mathbf{k}[\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}]$, where $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ on one hand, $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}$ on the other hand are free commutative variables.*

Proof. Let $V_2 \subset F_2$ be the subspace with basis $Y^b X^a$, where $a, b \geq 0$. Then $V_2 \oplus I_2 = F_2$, so we have an isomorphism $F_2/I_2 \simeq V_2$. We then compose this isomorphism with $V_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$, $Y^b X^a \mapsto \overline{X}^a \overline{Y}^b$.

Let $V_3 \subset F_3$ be the subspace with basis $z^c y^b x^a$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$. Then $V_3 \oplus I_3 = F_3$, so we have an isomorphism $F_3/I_3 \simeq V_3$. We then compose this isomorphism with $V_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}]$, $z^c y^b x^a \mapsto \overline{x}^a \overline{y}^b \overline{z}^c$. $\square$

Remark 1.4. Even though I_α is a two-sided ideal of F_α ($\alpha = 2, 3$), the isomorphisms of Lemma 1.3 are not algebra isomorphisms. Indeed, the algebras F_α/I_α are noncommutative and have zero divisors. $\square$

Lemma 1.5. *Define $\pi : F_2 \rightarrow F_3$ as the composition $F_2 \xrightarrow{d^{1,2,3,4}} T_3 \xrightarrow{\Pi} F_3$. Then $\Pi \circ d''(a) = \pi(a) - \varepsilon(a)1$ for any $a \in F_2$ (here $\varepsilon : F_2 = U(\mathfrak{f}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map).*

Let τ_{13}, τ_{23} be the derivations of F_3 defined by $\tau_{13} : x \mapsto [x, z]$, $y \mapsto 0$, $z \mapsto [z, x]$ and $\tau_{23} : x \mapsto 0$, $y \mapsto [y, z]$, $z \mapsto [z, y]$.

Then we have, for any $a \in F_2$,

$$\pi(Xa) = x\pi(a) + \tau_{13}(\pi(a)), \quad \pi(Ya) = y\pi(a) + \tau_{23}(\pi(a)). \quad (6)$$

Proof. If $a \in T_3$, then $\Pi \circ d^{1,2,3}(a) = \varepsilon(a)1$, where $\varepsilon : T_3 = U(\mathfrak{t}_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is the counit map. So if $a \in F_2$, we have $\Pi \circ d''(a) = \Pi \circ d^{1,2,34}(a) - \varepsilon(a)1$.

Let us now prove formulas (6). Let $a \in F_2$, then $d^{1,2,34}(a) = \pi(a) + \sum_i a_i t_i$, where $a_i \in F_3$ and $t_i \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon : T_3 = U(\mathfrak{t}_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$ (here ε is the counit map). So

$$\begin{aligned} d^{1,2,34}(a) &= (t_{13} + t_{14})(\pi(a) + \sum_i a_i t_i) \\ &= x(\pi(a) + \sum_i a_i t_i) + [t_{13}, \pi(a)] + \pi(a)t_{13} + \sum_i [t_{13}, a_i]t_i + \sum_i a_i(t_{13}t_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have $[t_{13}, b] = \tau_{13}(b)$ for any $b \in F_2$, so this is the sum of $x\pi(a) + \tau_{13}(\pi(a))$ and $\sum_i x a_i t_i + \pi(a)t_{13} + \sum_i \tau_{13}(a_i)t_i + \sum_i a_i(t_{13}t_i)$. The first term belongs to F_3 and the second term belongs to $F_3 \text{Ker}(\varepsilon : T_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{k})$, so the image of their sum by Π is the first term, i.e., $x\pi(a) + \tau_{13}(\pi(a))$. This proves the first identity of (6). The second identity is proved in the same way. $\square$

Proposition 1.6. *We have $d'(I_2) \subset I_3$ and $\Pi \circ d''(I_2) \subset I_3$.*

Proof. Let w, w', w'' are words in X, Y . Then $d^{2,3,4}(wXw'Yw'') = d^{2,3,4}(w)y d^{2,3,4}(w')z d^{2,3,4}(w'')$, which decomposes as a sum of words of the form $w_1 y w_2 z w_3$, where w_i are words on x, y, z . So $d^{2,3,4}(wXw'Yw'') \in I_3$, which shows that $d^{2,3,4}(I_2) \subset I_3$.

In the same way, $d^{12,3,4}(wXw'Yw'') = d^{12,3,4}(w)(x+y)d^{12,3,4}(w')z d^{12,3,4}(w'')$ belongs to I_3 , so $d^{12,3,4}(I_2) \subset I_3$.

We also have $d^{1,23,4}(wXw'Yw'') = d^{12,3,4}(w)x d^{12,3,4}(w')(y+z)d^{12,3,4}(w'')$ belongs to I_3 , so $d^{1,23,4}(I_2) \subset I_3$.

Since $d' = -d^{2,3,4} + d^{12,3,4} - d^{1,23,4}$, it follows that $d'(I_2) \subset I_3$.

Let us now prove that $\Pi \circ d''(I_2) \subset I_3$.

If $a \in F_2$, we have $\Pi \circ d''(a) = \pi(a) - \varepsilon(a)1$. Now $\varepsilon(a) = 0$ if $a \in I_2$, so we have to prove that $\pi(I_2) \subset I_3$.

We denote by $I_2[n]$ the degree n part of I_2 (here X, Y have degree 1). We will prove by induction on n that $\pi(I_2[n]) \subset I_3$.

When $n = 2$, $I_2[n]$ is spanned by XY . Then $\pi(XY) = \Pi((t_{13}+x)(t_{23}+y)) = xy + \tau_{13}(y) = xy$ belongs to I_3 .

Let $n \geq 3$ and assume that we have proved that $\pi(I_2[n-1]) \subset I_3$. Let us prove that $\pi(I_2[n]) \subset I_3$.

$I_2[n]$ is spanned by the words $YwXw'Yw''$, where w, w', w'' are words in X, Y of total length $n-3$, and by the words $XY^b X^a$, where $a \geq 0, b > 0$ and $a+b = n-1$. We should prove that π takes these words to I_3 .

Let us study the image of the first family of words. Set $w''' := wXw'Yw''$. Then $w''' \in I_2[n-1]$, and according to (6),

$$\pi(Yw''') = y\pi(w''') + \tau_{23}(\pi(w''')). \quad (7)$$

Now the induction hypothesis implies that $\pi(w''') \in I_3$. Since I_3 is a two-sided ideal of I_3 , $y\pi(w''') \in I_3$.

On the other hand, let us prove that if $a \in I_3$, then $\tau_{23}(a) \in I_3$. If a is a word of the form $wxw'zw''$, then

$$\tau_{23}(a) = \tau_{23}(w)xw'zw'' + wx\tau_{23}(w')zw'' + wxw'[y,z]w'' \in I_3.$$

If a has the form $wxw'zw''$, then

$$\tau_{23}(a) = \tau_{23}(w)xw'zw'' + wx\tau_{23}(w')zw'' + wxw'[z,y]w'' \in I_3.$$

If a has the form $wyw'zw''$, then

$$\tau_{23}(a) = \tau_{23}(w)yw'zw'' + w[y,z]w'zw'' + wy\tau_{23}(w')zw'' + wyw'[z,y]w'' + wyw'z\tau_{23}(w'') \in I_3.$$

By linearity, it follows that if $a \in I_3$, then $\tau_{23}(a) \in I_3$.

In particular, $\tau_{23}(\pi(w''')) \in I_3$. Therefore (7) implies that $\pi(Yw''') \in I_3$.

Let us now study the image of the second family of words, i.e. $\pi(XY^bX^a)$, where $b > 0$ and $a + b = n - 1$.

Let us first show:

Lemma 1.7. $\pi(Y^bX^a)$ has positive valuation¹ in y .

Proof of Lemma. Let $\varepsilon_y : F_3 \rightarrow F_2 = \mathbf{k}\langle t_{13}, t_{23} \rangle$ be the morphism defined by $x \mapsto t_{13}$, $y \mapsto 0$, $z \mapsto t_{23}$. We want to show that $\varepsilon_y \circ \pi(Y^bX^a) = 0$.

Recall that $\mathbf{t}_3 = \mathbf{f}_2 \oplus \mathbf{t}_2$, therefore the composed map $F_2 \otimes T_2 \rightarrow T_3^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow T_3$ (the first map is the tensor product of inclusions, the second map is the product) is a linear isomorphism $F_2 \otimes T_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} T_3$. We denote by Π_3 the composed map $T_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} F_2 \otimes T_2 \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon} F_2$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_4 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} & T_3 \\ \Pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_3 \\ F_3 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_y} & F_2 \end{array}$$

Here $\varepsilon_2 : T_4 \rightarrow T_3$ is the morphism induced by the Lie algebra morphism $\mathbf{t}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{t}_3$, $t_{ij} \mapsto 0$ if i or $j = 2$, $t_{13} \mapsto t_{12}$, $t_{14} \mapsto t_{13}$, $t_{34} \mapsto t_{23}$. Indeed,

$$P(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34})Q(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} P(t_{13}, 0, t_{23})Q(0, t_{12}, 0) \xrightarrow{\Pi_3} P(t_{13}, 0, t_{23})Q(0, 0, 0),$$

whereas

$$P(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34})Q(t_{12}, t_{13}, t_{23}) \xrightarrow{\Pi} P(t_{14}, t_{24}, t_{34})Q(0, 0, 0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_y} P(t_{13}, 0, t_{23})Q(0, 0, 0).$$

It follows that $\varepsilon_y \circ \pi(Y^bX^a) = \Pi_2 \circ \varepsilon_2 \circ d^{1,2,3,4}(Y^bX^a)$. Now $\varepsilon_2 \circ d^{1,2,3,4}(Y^bX^a) = (t_{23} + t_{24})^b(t_{13} + t_{14})^a = 0$ since $b > 0$. $\square$

Lemma 1.8. If w is a word in x, y, z of positive degree in y , then

$$xw + \tau_{13}(w) \in I_3. \quad (8)$$

Proof of Lemma. The word xw contains xy as a subword, hence $xw \in I_3$. Let us now write w as a product $w'yw''$, where w', w'' are words. We have $\tau_{13}(w) = \tau_{13}(w')yw'' + w'y\tau_{13}(w'')$. In general, if w''' is a word, then $\tau_{13}(w''')$ has positive valuation both in x and z . Since $\tau_{13}(w')$ (resp., $\tau_{13}(w'')$) has positive valuation in x (resp., in z), $\tau_{13}(w')yw''$ (resp., $w'y\tau_{13}(w'')$) contains xy (resp., yz) as a subword. It follows that $\tau_{13}(w) \in I_3$. This implies (8). $\square$

End of proof of Proposition. Now (6), Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.8 imply that $\pi(XY^bX^a) \in I_3$. $\square$

It follows that d' and $\Pi \circ d''$ induce maps $F_2/I_2 \rightarrow F_3/I_3$, which we compute explicitly.

Lemma 1.9. The maps $\bar{d}', \bar{d}'' : \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ induced by d' and $\Pi \circ d''$ are given by $\bar{d}' = -\bar{d}^{2,3,4} + \bar{d}^{12,3,4} - \bar{d}^{1,23,4}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}^{2,3,4} : f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) &\mapsto f(\bar{y}, \bar{z}), \\ \bar{d}^{12,3,4} : f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) &\mapsto \frac{\bar{x}f(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) - \bar{y}f(\bar{y}, \bar{z})}{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}, \\ \bar{d}^{1,23,4} : f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) &\mapsto \frac{\bar{y}f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - \bar{z}f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})}{\bar{y} - \bar{z}}, \end{aligned}$$

¹The valuation in x_i of a nonzero element of a free algebra $\mathbf{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is the smallest degree in x_i of a word appearing with a nontrivial coefficient in its decomposition; the valuation of 0 is $+\infty$.

and by

$$\bar{d}'' : 1 \mapsto 0, \quad \bar{X}f(\bar{X}) \mapsto \bar{x}f(\bar{x} - \bar{z}), \quad \bar{Y}f(\bar{Y}) \mapsto \bar{y}f(\bar{y} - \bar{z}), \quad \bar{X}\bar{Y}f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \mapsto \bar{x}\bar{y}f(\bar{x} - \bar{z}, \bar{y} - \bar{z}).$$

Here $f(\bar{X}), f(\bar{Y})$ (resp., $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$) are arbitrary 1-variable (resp., 2-variable) polynomials.

Proof. The maps $d^{2,3,4}, d^{12,3,4}$ and $d^{1,23,4}$ all take I_2 to I_3 , so they induce maps $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}, \bar{d}^{12,3,4}$ and $\bar{d}^{1,23,4} : F_2/I_2 \rightarrow F_3/I_3$. We then have $\bar{d}' = -\bar{d}^{2,3,4} + \bar{d}^{12,3,4} - \bar{d}^{1,23,4}$.

Let us compute $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}$. We have $d^{2,3,4}(Y^b X^a) = z^b y^a$, whose image in F_3/I_3 is $\bar{z}^b \bar{y}^a$. This implies the formula for $\bar{d}^{2,3,4}$.

Let us compute $\bar{d}^{12,3,4}$. We have $d^{12,3,4}(Y^b X^a) = z^b(x + y)^a$, whose projection on V_3 along I_3 is $z^b(y^a + y^{a-1}x + \dots + x^a)$. The image of this element in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is

$$(\bar{x}^a + \bar{x}^{a-1}\bar{y} + \dots + \bar{y}^a)\bar{z}^b = \frac{\bar{x}^{a+1} - \bar{y}^{a+1}}{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}\bar{z}^b.$$

The formula for $\bar{d}^{12,3,4}$ follows by linearity.

One computes $\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$ in the same way. We have $d^{1,23,4}(Y^b X^a) = (y + z)^b x^a$, whose projection on V_3 along I_3 is $(z^b + z^{b-1}y + \dots + y^b)x^a$. The image of this element in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is

$$\bar{x}^a(\bar{y}^b + \bar{y}^{b-1}\bar{z} + \dots + \bar{z}^b) = \bar{x}^a \frac{\bar{y}^{b+1} - \bar{z}^{b+1}}{\bar{y} - \bar{z}}.$$

The formula for $\bar{d}^{1,23,4}$ follows by linearity.

Let us now compute $\bar{d}''$. Clearly $\bar{d}''(1) = 0$. Let $n > 0$ and let us compute $\bar{d}''(\bar{X}^n)$. This is the image in F_3/I_3 of $\Pi \circ d^{1,2,34}(X^n) = \Pi((t_{13} + t_{14})^n)$. We have

$$(t_{13} + t_{14})^n = ((t_{14} + t_{34} + t_{13}) - t_{34})^n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_n^k (t_{34})^k (t_{14} + t_{34} + t_{13})^{n-k},$$

since $[t_{14} + t_{34} + t_{13}, t_{34}] = 0$. Now $[t_{13}, t_{14} + t_{34}] = 0$, hence

$$(t_{34})^k (t_{14} + t_{34} + t_{13})^{n-k} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-k} C_{n-k}^\alpha (t_{34})^k (t_{14} + t_{34})^{n-k-\alpha} (t_{13})^\alpha,$$

which is mapped by Π to $(t_{34})^k (t_{14} + t_{34})^{n-k} = z^k(x + z)^{n-k}$. The projection of this element on V_3 along I_3 is $z^k(z^{n-k} + z^{n-k-1}x + \dots + x^{n-k})$, whose image in $\mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is $\bar{z}^k(\bar{x}^{n-k+1} - \bar{z}^{n-k+1})/(\bar{x} - \bar{z})$. So

$$\bar{d}''(\bar{X}^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k C_n^k \frac{\bar{x}^{n-k+1} - \bar{z}^{n-k+1}}{\bar{x} - \bar{z}} \bar{z}^k = \bar{x}(\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{n-1}.$$

This implies the formula for $\bar{d}''(Xf(X))$. The formula for $\bar{d}''(\bar{Y}f(\bar{Y}))$ is proved in the same way.

Let us now prove by induction on $k + \ell$ that when $k, \ell > 0$,

$$\bar{d}''(\bar{X}^k \bar{Y}^\ell) = \bar{x} \bar{y} (\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{k-1} (\bar{y} - \bar{z})^{\ell-1}. \quad (9)$$

When $k = \ell = 1$, $d^{1,2,34}(YX) = (t_{23}t_{13})^{1,2,34} \xrightarrow{\Pi} t_{24}t_{14} + [t_{23}, t_{14}] = yx$ hence $\bar{d}''(\bar{X}\bar{Y}) = \bar{x}\bar{y}$, which proves (9) in this case.

Assume that (9) holds for $k + \ell < n$ and let us prove it for $k + \ell = n$ ($k, \ell > 0$).

When $\ell = 1$, we have $\bar{d}'(\bar{X}^k) = \bar{x}(\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{k-1}$, therefore

$$\pi(X^k) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\alpha C_{k-1}^\alpha z^\alpha x^{k-\alpha} + \xi, \quad \text{where } \xi \in I_3. \quad (10)$$

Then (6) implies that

$$\pi(YX^k) = y\pi(X^k) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\alpha C_{k-1}^\alpha \tau_{23}(z^\alpha x^{k-\alpha}) + \tau_{23}(\xi).$$

Now (10) implies that $y\pi(X^k) \in yx^k + I_3$. The projection of $\tau_{23}(z^\alpha x^{k-\alpha})$ on V_3 along I_3 is $z^\alpha yx^{k-\alpha}$ if $\alpha \neq 0$, 0 otherwise.

Lemma 1.10. $\tau_{23}(I_3) \subset I_3$.

Proof of Lemma. If w, w' and w'' are any words in x, y, z , then

$$\tau_{23}(wxw'yw'') = \tau_{23}(w)xw'yw'' + wx\tau_{23}(w')yw'' + wxw'[y, z]w'' + wxw'y\tau_{23}(w'') \in I_3.$$

One proves similarly that $\tau_{23}(wxw'zw'')$ and $\tau_{23}(wyw'zw'')$ belong to I_3 . $\square$

So $\bar{d}''(\bar{X}^k \bar{Y}) = \bar{x} \bar{y} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} (-1)^\alpha C_{k-1}^\alpha \bar{x}^{k-\alpha} \bar{y} \bar{z}^\alpha = \bar{x} \bar{y} (\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{k-1}$, which proves (9) in this case.

When $\ell > 1$, we use (9) for $(k, \ell - 1)$. This gives

$$\pi(Y^{\ell-1} X^k) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-2} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^\alpha C_{\ell-1}^\beta z^{\alpha+\beta} y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha} + \eta,$$

where $\eta \in I_3$.

Then (6) implies that

$$\pi(Y^\ell X^k) = y\pi(Y^{\ell-1} X^k) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-2} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^\alpha C_{\ell-1}^\beta \tau_{23}(z^{\alpha+\beta} y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha}) + \tau_{23}(\eta).$$

All the terms in the expansion of $y\pi(Y^{\ell-1} X^k)$ belong to I_3 , except the terms corresponding to $\alpha = \beta = 0$, so $y\pi(Y^{\ell-1} X^k) \in y^\ell x^k + I_3$.

If $a, b, c \geq 0$, then the projection of $\tau_{23}(z^c y^b x^a)$ on V_3 along I_3 is $z^c(y - z)y^b x^a$ if $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$; it is $z^c y^{b+1} x^a$ if $c \neq 0$ and $b = 0$; it is $-z^{c+1} y^b x^a$ if $c = 0$ and $b \neq 0$; and it is 0 if $b = c = 0$.

Lemma 1.10 implies that $\tau_{23}(\eta) \in I_3$. Then the projection of $\pi(Y^\ell X^k)$ on V_3 along I_3 is

$$\begin{aligned} & y^\ell x^k - zy^{\ell-1} x^k + \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in (\{0, \dots, k-1\} \times \{0, \dots, \ell-2\}) - \{(0, 0)\}} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^\alpha C_{\ell-2}^\beta z^{\alpha+\beta} (y - z) y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\ell-1} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{k-1}^\alpha C_{\ell-2}^\beta z^{\alpha+\beta} (y - z) y^{\ell-1-\beta} x^{k-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\bar{d}''(\bar{X}^k \bar{Y}^\ell) = (\bar{y} - \bar{z}) \bar{x} \bar{y} (\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{k-1} (\bar{y} - \bar{z})^{\ell-2} = \bar{x} \bar{y} (\bar{x} - \bar{z})^{k-1} (\bar{y} - \bar{z})^{\ell-1}$, which proves (9) in this case. This proves the induction. $\square$

Lemma 1.11. Define $\bar{d} = \bar{d}' + \bar{d}'' : \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$. The kernel of $\bar{d}|_{(\bar{X} \bar{Y})} : (\bar{X} \bar{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}]$ is equal to the linear span of the $\bar{X}(\bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n - (\bar{X} - \bar{Y})^n)/(\bar{X} - \bar{Y})$, where $n \geq 2$.

Proof. The map $\bar{d}|_{(\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})}$ takes $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & -f(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) + \frac{\bar{x}f(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) - \bar{y}f(\bar{y}, \bar{z})}{\bar{x} - \bar{y}} - \frac{\bar{y}f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - \bar{z}f(\bar{x}, \bar{z})}{\bar{y} - \bar{z}} + \frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}f(\bar{x} - \bar{z}, \bar{y} - \bar{z})}{(\bar{x} - \bar{z})(\bar{y} - \bar{z})} \\ & = \frac{\bar{x} \bar{y}}{(\bar{x} - \bar{y})(\bar{y} - \bar{z})} (g(\bar{x} - \bar{z}, \bar{y} - \bar{z}) + g(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\bar{x}} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

So $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in \text{Ker}(\bar{d}) \cap (\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$ iff $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in (\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$ and

$$g(\bar{x} - \bar{z}, \bar{y} - \bar{z}) + g(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) - g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Let us solve (11), where $g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$. By linearity, we may assume that g is homogeneous; let n be its degree. If $n = 0$, we get g = a constant polynomial. Assume that $n > 0$. Applying $(\partial/\partial \bar{z})|_{\bar{z}=0}$ to (11), we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}}\right)g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = c(\bar{x}^{n-1} - \bar{y}^{n-1}),$$

for some $c \in \mathbf{k}$. This gives $c(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = h(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) + c(\bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n)/n$, there $h(\bar{X}) \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}]$ has degree n , so $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = c(\bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n)/n + c'(\bar{X} - \bar{Y})^n$, for some $c' \in \mathbf{k}$.

Substituting this in (11), we get $c' = -c/n$, so the set of solutions of degree n of (11) is the linear span of $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n - (\bar{X} - \bar{Y})^n$, where $n \geq 0$.

It follows that $f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in \text{Ker}(\bar{d}) \cap (\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$ iff f is a linear span of the $\bar{X}(\bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n - (\bar{X} - \bar{Y})^n)/(\bar{X} - \bar{Y})$, $n \geq 0$ and belongs to $(\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$. This means that f is a linear span of the same elements, where $n \geq 2$. $\square$

Let us now prove Theorem 0.1. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{t}_3$ be a solution of (2) and (3), homogeneous of degree n . One checks that if $n = 1$, then $\psi = 0$; let us assume that $n \geq 2$. Recall that $\mathfrak{f}_2 \subset \mathfrak{t}_3$ is the Lie subalgebra generated by $X = t_{13}$ and $Y = t_{23}$, and that $\mathfrak{t}_3 = \mathbf{k} \cdot (t_{12} + t_{13} + t_{23}) \oplus \mathfrak{f}_2$. Since this is a graded decomposition, we have $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_2'$, where $\mathfrak{f}_2' = [\mathfrak{f}_2, \mathfrak{f}_2]$ is the degree ≥ 2 part of $\mathfrak{f}_2$ (it coincides with $\mathfrak{p}$ defined in the Introduction, since it coincides with $\mathfrak{t}_3' = [\mathfrak{t}_3, \mathfrak{t}_3]$).

Let us set $P_{k\ell} = \text{ad}(X)^{k-1} \text{ad}(Y)^{\ell-1}([X, Y])$ (here $k, \ell \geq 1$). Then $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is an abelian Lie algebra with basis $[P_{k\ell}]$, $k, \ell \geq 1$. We have therefore $\psi = \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} a_{k\ell} P_{k\ell} + \psi'$, where $\psi' \in [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$. We set

$$a(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) := \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} a_{k\ell} \bar{X}^k \bar{Y}^\ell \in \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}].$$

Lemma 1.12. *The image of $P_{k\ell}$ in $F_2/I_2 \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is $(-1)^k \bar{X}^k \bar{Y}^\ell$. We have $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset I_2$.*

Proof. The first statmeent follows from the expansion of $P_{k\ell}$. Let us denote by $(F_2)_{>0} \subset F_2$ the subspace of all elements of positive valuation both in X and in Y . Then $\mathfrak{p} \subset (F_2)_{>0}$. So $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset ((F_2)_{>0})^2 \subset I_2$, which proves the second statement. $\square$

Let us denote by $\bar{\psi}$ the image of ψ in $F_2/I_2 \simeq \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$. Then $\bar{\psi} = \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} (-1)^k a_{k\ell} \bar{X}^k \bar{Y}^\ell = a(-\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$.

The image of $(F_2)_{>0}$ by the projection map $F_2 \rightarrow F_2/I_2 = \mathbf{k}[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ is the ideal $(\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$. Since $\psi \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\bar{\psi} \in (\bar{X} \ \bar{Y})$.

On the other hand, we have $\bar{d}(\bar{\psi}) = 0$. It then follows from Lemma 1.11 that for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, we have $a(-\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \lambda \bar{X}(\bar{X}^n - \bar{Y}^n - (\bar{X} - \bar{Y})^n)/(\bar{X} - \bar{Y})$, i.e.,

$$a(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = (-1)^{n+1} \lambda \bar{X} \frac{(\bar{X} + \bar{Y})^n - \bar{X}^n + (-1)^n \bar{Y}^n}{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}.$$

Recall that $p_{k\ell} = \text{ad}(A)^{k-1} \text{ad}(B)^{\ell-1}([A, B])$, where $A = t_{12}$ and $B = t_{23}$. Let $b_{k\ell}$ ($k, \ell > 0$, $k + \ell = n$) be the coefficients such that $\psi \in \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} b_{k\ell} p_{k\ell} + [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$. We set $b(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = \sum_{k, \ell \geq 1, k+\ell=n} b_{k\ell} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^\ell \in \mathbf{k}[\overline{A}, \overline{B}]$. Then $b(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ is the image of the class $[\psi]$ of ψ in $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ under $i : \mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \simeq (\overline{A} \ \overline{B})$ defined in the Introduction.

In general, the polynomials $a(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ and $b(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ are related by

$$b(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = -\frac{\overline{A}}{\overline{A} + \overline{B}} a(-\overline{A} - \overline{B}, \overline{B}),$$

so in our case

$$b(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) = \lambda(\overline{A}^n + \overline{B}^n - (\overline{A} + \overline{B})^n).$$

Now the image of condition (2) in $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is that $b(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ satisfies

$$\frac{b(\overline{A}, \overline{B})}{\overline{A} \ \overline{B}} + \frac{b(\overline{B}, \overline{C})}{\overline{B} \ \overline{C}} + \frac{b(\overline{C}, \overline{A})}{\overline{C} \ \overline{A}} = 0,$$

where $\overline{C} = -\overline{A} - \overline{B}$.

Now $\overline{C}\psi(\overline{A}, \overline{B}) + \overline{A}\psi(\overline{B}, \overline{C}) + \overline{B}\psi(\overline{C}, \overline{A}) = -\lambda(1 + (-1)^n)(\overline{A}^{n+1} + \overline{B}^{n+1} + \overline{C}^{n+1})$.

It follows that if n is even, then the image of (2) implies $\lambda = 0$, therefore the image $[\psi]$ of ψ in $\mathfrak{p}/[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ is zero, and that if n is odd, then the image of (2) is automatically satisfied, so that $b(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ is proportional to $(\overline{A} + \overline{B})^n - \overline{A}^n - \overline{B}^n$, i.e., $[\psi]$ is proportional to $[\sigma_n]$. This ends the proof of Theorem 0.1. $\square$

2. PROOF OF COROLLARY 0.4

Recall that $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$ is a torsor under the right action of a group $\text{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$. We will first prove:

Proposition 2.1. *Set $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \{\Phi \in \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}) \mid (4) \text{ holds}\}$. Then $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k})$ is stable under the action of $\text{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ on $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$. Therefore $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k})$ is either $\emptyset$ or $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$.*

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $\Phi \in \mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k})$ and let $g \in \text{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$. We should prove that $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4).

Recall that $\text{GRT}(\mathbf{k})$ is the semidirect product $\text{GRT}_1(\mathbf{k}) \rtimes \mathbf{k}^\times$, where $\text{GRT}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is the pronipotent group exponentiating $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$, and the action of $\mathbf{k}^\times$ on $\text{GRT}_1(\mathbf{k})$ is the exponential of its action on $\mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$ induced by the grading. So it suffices to check that $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4) when $g \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, and when $g \in \text{GRT}_1(\mathbf{k})$.

If $g = \mu \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, then $\Phi * \mu = \Phi(\mu A, \mu B)$, therefore $\Phi * \mu$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi * \mu}(n) = \mu^n \zeta_\Phi(n)$.

If $g \in \text{GRT}_1(\mathbf{k})$, then $g = \exp(\psi)$, where $\psi \in \mathfrak{grt}_1(\mathbf{k})$. We set $\Phi_t := \Phi * \exp(t\psi)$. According to Theorem 0.1, there exist scalars $\mu_n \in \mathbf{k}$ (n odd ≥ 3) such that $[\psi] = \sum_{n \text{ odd}, n \geq 3} \mu_n [\sigma_n]$. Since $\psi \in \mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$, this means that $(\psi_B B)^{\text{ab}} = \sum_{n \text{ odd}, n \geq 3} \mu_n ((\overline{A} + \overline{B})^n - \overline{A}^n - \overline{B}^n)$.

Let ε be a formal variable with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Then $\Phi_{t+\varepsilon} = \Phi_t + \varepsilon(\Phi_t \psi + D_\psi(\Phi_t))$, where D_ψ is the derivation of $\mathfrak{f}_2(A, B)$ such that $D_\psi(A) = [\psi, A]$, $D_\psi(B) = 0$.

Using the decompositions $\psi = \psi_A A + \psi_B B$, $\Phi_t = 1 + (\Phi_t)_A A + (\Phi_t)_B B$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{t+\varepsilon} &= 1 + (\Phi_t)_A A + (\Phi_t)_B B \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left(\Phi_t \psi_A A + \Phi_t \psi_B B + D_\psi((\Phi_t)_A A + (\Phi_t)_B B) + (\Phi_t)_A (\psi A - A(\psi_A A + \psi_B B)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(\Phi_{t+\varepsilon})_B B = (\Phi_t)_B B + \varepsilon(\Phi_t \psi_B B + D_\psi((\Phi_t)_B B) - (\Phi_t)_A A \psi_B B).$$

Let us apply the abelianization to this formula. Since $\Phi_t \in \exp(\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_2(A, B))$, we have $\Phi_t^{\text{ab}} = 1$ and so $((\Phi_t)_A A + (\Phi_t)_B B)^{\text{ab}} = 0$. Therefore

$$(d/dt)((\Phi_t)_B B)^{\text{ab}} = (\psi_B B)^{\text{ab}}(1 - ((\Phi_t)_A A)^{\text{ab}}) = (\psi_B B)^{\text{ab}}(1 + ((\Phi_t)_B B)^{\text{ab}}).$$

Therefore $1 + ((\Phi_t)_B B)^{\text{ab}} = (1 + (\Phi_B B)^{\text{ab}}) \exp(t(\psi_B B)^{\text{ab}})$, and with $t = 1$ this gives $1 + ((\Phi * g)_B B)^{\text{ab}} = (1 + (\Phi_B B)^{\text{ab}}) \exp((\psi_B B)^{\text{ab}})$.

Since Φ satisfies (4), we get $1 + ((\Phi * g)_B B)^{\text{ab}} = \Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\overline{A} + \overline{B}) / (\Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\overline{A}) \Gamma_{\Phi * g}(\overline{B}))$, where $\Gamma_{\Phi * g}(s) = \Gamma_{\Phi}(s) \exp(\sum_{n \text{ odd}, n \geq 3} \mu_n s^n)$, i.e. $\Phi * g$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi * g}(n) = \zeta_{\Phi}(n) - n\mu_n$ for $n \text{ odd} \geq 3$, and $\zeta_{\Phi * g}(n) = \zeta_{\Phi}(n)$ for $n \text{ even} \geq 2$. $\square$

Let us now prove Corollary 0.4. Proposition 2.1 implies that $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k})$ is either $\emptyset$ or $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k}'$ be fields of characteristic 0. It is immediate that if $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{k}'$ and $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}') = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}')$, then $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{k}'$ and $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$, then $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}') = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}')$: indeed, Proposition 5.3 of [Dr] implies that $\mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$, so $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$; we have obviously $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) \subset \mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}')$, hence $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}') \neq \emptyset$; then Proposition 2.1 implies that $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}') = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k}')$. It follows that if for some $\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$ for any $\mathbf{k}$. We will now prove that $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbb{C}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let Φ_{KZ} be the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov associator defined as in [Dr] as the renormalized holonomy from 0 to 1 of the differential equation $G'(z) = (\frac{A}{z} + \frac{B}{z-1})G(z)$. Then $(2\pi i, \Phi_{\text{KZ}}) \in \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies (4) with $\zeta_{\Phi}(n) = \zeta(n)$ for any $n \geq 2$. Indeed, in [Dr], (2.15), it is proved that

$$[\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}}] = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\zeta(n)}{n} (\overline{A}^n + \overline{B}^n - (\overline{A} + \overline{B})^n)\right) - 1.$$

Then $(\Phi_{\text{KZ}})_A = \frac{\Phi_{\text{KZ}} - 1}{\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}}} (\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}})_A$, $(\Phi_{\text{KZ}})_B = \frac{\Phi_{\text{KZ}} - 1}{\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}}} (\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}})_B$, therefore $(\Phi_{\text{KZ}})_B^{\text{ab}} = (\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}})_B^{\text{ab}} = [\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}}] / \overline{B}$ (the last equality follows from $\log \Phi_{\text{KZ}} \in \mathfrak{p}$). So

$$1 + ((\Phi_{\text{KZ}})_B B)^{\text{ab}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{mod}}(\overline{A} + \overline{B})}{\Gamma_{\text{mod}}(\overline{A}) \Gamma_{\text{mod}}(\overline{B})},$$

where $\Gamma_{\text{mod}}(u) = \exp(\sum_{n \geq 2} -\frac{\zeta(n)}{n} u^n)$ is related to the Γ -function by $\Gamma_{\text{mod}}(u) = e^{\gamma u} / (-u \Gamma(-u))$, where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. It follows that $(\Phi_{\text{KZ}}, 2\pi i) \in \mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbb{C})$, therefore for any $\mathbf{k}$, $\mathbf{Assoc}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{Assoc}(\mathbf{k})$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Dr] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 829-60.
- [EH] B. Enriquez, G. Halbout, *Poisson algebras associated to quasi-Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **186** (2004), no. 2, 363-95.

IRMA (CNRS), RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, F-67084 STRASBOURG, FRANCE
E-mail address: `enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr`